1 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 8 KPI BRIDGE OIL SINGAPORE PTE LTD., IN ADMIRALTY 9 Plaintiff, CASE NO.: 10 v. 11 ORDER AUTHORIZING M/V CITY OF TOKYO (IMO 8709145), her ISSUANCE OF WARRANT OF 12 tackle, boilers, apparel, furniture, engines, ARREST appurtenances, etc., in rem, 13 and 14 CEDAR CAR CARRIER AS, and ABOU 15 MERHI LINES SAL, in personam 16 Defendants. 17 Having reviewed and considered the Motion for Issuance of Warrant of Arrest of 18 19 Plaintiff, KPI BRIDGE OIL SINGAPORE PTE LTD, and Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, and 20 finding that the conditions of Rule C of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and 21 Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure appear to exist, the Court hereby finds 22 that the Motion is meritorious and should be **GRANTED**. 23 It is therefore **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED AND DECREED** that a Warrant of Arrest be 24 issued against the M/V CITY OF TOKYO (IMO 8709145), her engines, freights, apparel, 25

appurtenances, tackle, etc. ("Vessel") as prayed for in the Verified Complaint; and

26

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be attached and served with said
Warrant of Arrest on the person in charge of the said Vessel or her agent; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States Marshal and/or any Substitute Custodian, which is subsequently appointed by this Court, is authorized to allow the M/V CITY OF TOKYO to conduct normal cargo operations, both discharging and loading, repair works, and to shift berths (consistent with the U.S. Marshal's requirements), always remaining within this judicial district, and always at the risk and expense of the vessel's interests; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Warrant of Arrest shall provide for the crew of the Vessel to remain on the vessel during the time the vessel is *in custodia legis*; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the charges and expenses incurred by the U.S. Marshal shall be deemed *in custodia legis*, and will be paid from the proceeds of the vessel's sale unless otherwise agreed. If a written objection is timely filed, payment of the disputed charges only shall be made after the objection is resolved by agreement of the parties or by Court Order. Payment of the undisputed charges shall not be affected;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Vessel may be released from seizure without further order of this Court if the Marshal receives written authorization from the attorney who requested the seizure, and that such attorney advises that he has conferred with all counsel representing all of the parties to the litigation and they consent to the release, if the attorney files the consent and the Court has not entered an Order to the contrary, and also provided that the U.S. Marshal confirms that all expenses and fees due to the U.S. Marshal have been paid; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Plaintiff shall agree to release and hold harmless, and indemnify the United States of America, the United States Marshal, their agents, servants,

Case 3:15-cv-05282-BHS Document 6 Filed 05/01/15 Page 3 of 3

employees, and all others for whom they are responsible, from any and all liability or 1 responsibility for claims arising from the attachment of the vessel; and 2 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any person claiming an interest in the Vessel shall, 3 4 upon application to the Court, be entitled to a prompt hearing pursuant to Supplemental 5 Admiralty Rule E(4)(f) and LAR 116(b) at which the plaintiff shall be required to show why the 6 arrest should not be vacated or other relied granted. 7 SIGNED at Tacoma, Washington this 1st day of May, 2015. 8 9 10 11 12 United States District Judge 13 14 15 **Presented by:** 16 NICOLL BLACK & FEIG PLLC 17 18 /s/ Jeremey B. Jones Jeremy B. Jones, WSBA #44138 19 Attorneys for Plaintiff 20 21 22 23 24 25

26